

Evaluation Summaries—Andrew Ewing

This table serves as a quick summary of the evaluation scores¹ I have received for the courses I have taught at the University of Washington. The possible evaluation scores range from 0 (Very Poor) to 5 (Excellent). The courses represented are ECON 200: Introduction to Microeconomics, ECON 201: Introduction to Macroeconomics, ECON 300: Intermediate Microeconomics, and BECON 300: Managerial Economics. Courses with a two-letter section code represent a quiz section in which I was a Teaching Assistant. Courses with a one-letter section code represent independently taught sections. For scans of the official evaluations and more information on the adjustments involved, visit: <http://www.andrewewing.com/docs/teaching/uwEvals.pdf>.

Course	Quarter	“Course Evaluation” (Questions 1 & 2)		“Instructor Evaluation” (Questions 3 & 4)	
		Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted
ECON 200 AO	Autumn 2003	3.85	4.15	4.35	4.6
ECON 200 AT	Autumn 2003	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8
ECON 200 AH	Winter 2004	4.35	4.25	4.5	4.4
ECON 200 AL	Winter 2004	3.95	4.15	4.2	4.45
ECON 201 AD	Spring 2004	4.05	4.25	4.6	4.7
ECON 200 U	Summer 2004	3.95	3.85	4.45	4.35
ECON 200 U	Autumn 2004	4.15	4.25	4.5	4.5
ECON 200 C	Winter 2005	3.75	3.85	4.35	4.45
ECON 300 AA	Spring 2005	4.3	4.25	4.55	4.5
ECON 300 AB	Spring 2005	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.5
ECON 300 B	Summer 2005	3.85	3.85	4.05	4.1
ECON 200 D	Summer 2006	3.85	4.0	4.2	4.4
BECON 300 A	Autumn 2007	3.35	3.75	3.65	4.0
BECON 300 B	Winter 2008	3.6	3.95	3.4	3.75
ECON 200 E	Spring 2008	4.15	4.35	4.4	4.55
Overall Average:		3.98	4.1	4.3	4.4

¹For evaluation scores, I am providing two measures: the average of the two overall “Course Evaluation” questions, “1. The course as a whole was:” and “2. The course content was:” and the average of the two overall “Instructor Evaluation” questions, “3. The instructor’s contribution to the course was:” and “4. The instructor’s effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was:”.